Presbytery Mission Planning Phase 1 Central Area (North)

A follow up meeting was held on 20 th October 2021 where there were 11 representatives present from all the relevant congregations 2. Discussion Notes Following discussions at both meetings, the following points were noted: i) Tenure – concern over the length of time that Plans will take to come to fruition due to Unrestricted Tenure (UT). Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31 th December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of lochs	1. Sederunt	2 meetings were held the first on 16 th September 2021 where 10 representatives
representatives present from all the relevant congregations Following discussions at both meetings, the following points were noted: i) Tenure – concern over the length of time that Plans will take to come to fruition due to Unrestricted Tenure (UT). Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		were present from all relevant congregations.
2. Discussion Notes Following discussions at both meetings, the following points were noted: i) Tenure – concern over the length of time that Plans will take to come to fruition due to Unrestricted Tenure (UT). Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31 st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned.		
i) Tenure – concern over the length of time that Plans will take to come to fruition due to Unrestricted Tenure (UT). Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. Further informal meetings to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		representatives present from all the relevant congregations
i) Tenure – concern over the length of time that Plans will take to come to fruition due to Unrestricted Tenure (UT). Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		Following discussions at both meetings, the following points were noted:
Faith Nurture Forum Update – response received to queries regarding Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with	Notes	_ ·
Reviewable Charges, UT and Ministry Posts to be within mandatory limits by 31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		, <i>,</i>
31st December 2025 and a shared with those present who felt the response did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
did not clarify the situation or help the discussions given their response took 7 week to be returned. ii) Building Review — concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information — 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan — the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B — Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C — (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside — Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown — Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		,
week to be returned. ii) Building Review – concern from all parties that it is difficult to plan without knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures ly)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		·
knowing outcome of building review. iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures iv) Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan – the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan — the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B — Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C — (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside — Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown — Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan — the worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B — Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C — (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside — Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown — Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		iii) Supplemental Information – 2020 figures do not reflect actual figures
worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time. 3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		iv)Representatives from Lochside wished it noted that Lochside is not a church in
3. Plans At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		its own right and should not have been classed as such in the plan — the
Plans: Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		worshipers are all members of Ayr St Columba at this time.
Plan B – Ayr St Quivox, & Ayr St James Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with	3. Plans	At the initial Meeting the representatives expressed preferences for the following
Plan C – (As A but with Lochside as Local Mission Church) Ayr Newton Wallacetown & Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		Plans:
& Lochside. After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
After discussion a Plan D (Initially Plan A with expectation that all congregations are engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
engaged in working towards Plan B) was put forward for consideration. 4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
4. Next Steps Representatives were asked to take back suggested Plans A-D to their Kirk Sessions for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		, , ,
for consideration. Further informal meetings to take place between them to try to come to an agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		engaged in working towards Fian by was put forward for consideration.
agreement And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with	4. Next Steps	1 .
And the North Central Area group will re-convene on 20 th October 2021 5. Plans Update After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		_ ,
and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were recorded: For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with	5 Plans Undato	After further informal meetings, consultation with Kirk Sessions and congregations
For Plan C Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with	J. Flatis Opuate	and further discussions at the follow up meeting the following preferences were
Lochside – Ayr St Columba acting on the advice of the entire worshiping congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		recorded:
congregation of Lochside. Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		For Plan C
Ayr Newton Wallacetown – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with		
		congregation.

For Plan B

Ayr St James – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with congregation. Ayr St Quivox – Kirk Session agreement and after discussion with congregation.

6. Outcome

After quite a bit of discussion between all parties and concern for the influence of priority areas. It was clarified that the priority areas when it came to Plan C would be equally shared.

There was a real concern among those present that UT would delay the plan whatever option and incur church closures. It was shared with the group that the ministers at St Quivox had written to the Kirk Session to inform them he would be willing to step aside to allow a plan to come to fruition. The minister at St James has expressed willingness to work with congregations to enable a plan to take place.

Ayr Newton Wallacetown and Lochside expressed a desire to work together to advance the youth and adult outreach in their area. Although they acknowledged that there is a possibility for a Team Ministry within the North Ayr Area in the future, this is not viable at the present time.

Ayr St James and Ayr St Quivox agreed to continue discussions to explore the possibility of working together and update the Convener before the 1st of November if possible.

If no agreement can be forged, then the information as stands in regards to Plan B and Plan C will be presented to the mission committee at its next meeting.